| X | MICT-12-25-AR14. 25-05-2016 (4247 - 4243) | .1 | | 4247 ZS | | | |
|--|---|-----------|--------------|------------|--|--|--|
| UNITED NATIONS | | | | | | | |
| | | Case No.: | MICT-12-25-4 | AR14.1 | | | |
| Mechanism | n for International Criminal Tribunals | Date: | 25 May 2016 | | | | |
| Street Stre | | Original: | English | | | | |
| | IN THE APPEALS CHAMI | BER | | <u> </u> | | | |
| Before: | e: Judge Burton Hall, Presiding Judge Joseph E. Chiondo Masanche Judge Mparany Mamy Richard Rajohnson Judge José Ricardo de Prada Solaesa Judge Ben Emmerson | | | | | | |
| Registrar: | Mr. John Hocking | | | | | | |
| Decision of: | 25 May 2016 | | | | | | |
| | PROSECUTOR | | | | | | |
| | v. | | | | | | |
| | JEAN UWINKINDI | | | | | | |
| | PUBLIC | | | | | | |
| | DECISION ON MOTIONS FOR DIS | SCLOSURE | | | | | |
| Office of the Prosecu | tor: | | - | | | | |
| Mr. Serge Brammertz Mr. Richard Karegyesz Mr. Cheickh Bangourz | | | | | | | |

.....

.....

Counsel for Mr. Jean Uwinkindi:

Mr. Gatera Gashabana

.....

| Received by the Registry | | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Mechanism for International Criminal Tribunals | | | | | |
| 25/05/2016 13:18 | | | | | |
| Mclau/arta | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

THE APPEALS CHAMBER of the International Residual Mechanism for Criminal Tribunals ("Appeals Chamber" and "Mechanism", respectively);¹

NOTING that, on 22 October 2015, a Trial Chamber of the Mechanism ("Trial Chamber") dismissed Mr. Jean Uwinkindi's request for revocation of the order referring his case to Rwanda;²

NOTING that Mr. Uwinkindi has since appealed the Impugned Decision;³

NOTING that, in a confidential motion filed on 3 March 2016 seeking the admission of additional evidence on appeal pursuant to Rule 142 of the Rules of Procedure and Evidence of the Mechanism ("Rules"), Mr. Uwinkindi requested, *inter alia*, that the Appeals Chamber order the Prosecution to disclose a report that was sent to the Prosecution in June 2015 ("Report");⁴

NOTING that, in the Prosecution's confidential filing of 19 April 2016, filed in response, *inter alia*, to Mr. Uwinkindi's Second Additional Evidence Motion, it submitted that the Report was not disclosed to Mr. Uwinkindi because it was marked "Confidential – Not for Distribution" by the author and because, in the view of the Prosecution, the Report does not fall within the ambit of material subject to disclosure under Rule 73 of the Rules and that "the unsigned document fell short of being either factual or expert evidence";⁵

NOTING FURTHER that the Prosecution filed the Report as a confidential and *ex parte* annex to the Prosecution's Consolidated Response⁶ for determination by the Appeals Chamber as to whether it should be disclosed to Mr. Uwinkindi;⁷

BEING SEISED OF two motions filed confidentially by Mr. Uwinkindi on 26 April 2016 and 4 May 2016 requesting that the Prosecution be ordered to disclose the Report pursuant, *inter alia*,

¹ Order Assigning Judges to a Case Before the Appeals Chamber, 7 December 2015.

² See Prosecutor v. Jean Uwinkindi, Case No. MICT-12-25-R14.1, Decision on Uwinkindi's Request for Revocation, 22 October 2015 ("Impugned Decision"), para. 42.

 ³ See Notice of Appeal from the Defence of Jean Uwinkindi, 20 November 2015 (the English translation of the French original was filed on 27 November 2015).
 ⁴ Second Motion by Jean Uwinkindi Defence for Admission of Evidence Pursuant to Rule 142 of the Rules of

⁴ Second Motion by Jean Uwinkindi Defence for Admission of Evidence Pursuant to Rule 142 of the Rules of Procedure and Evidence (confidential), 3 March 2016 (the English translation of the French original was filed on 4 May 2016) ("Second Additional Evidence Motion"), paras. 8-18, 41. See also Motion by the Defence of Jean Uwinkindi for Admission of Evidence Pursuant to Rule 142 of the Rules of Procedure and Evidence, 2 March 2016 (the English translation of the French original was filed on 11 March 2016), para. 29. ⁵ Prosecution's Consolidated Response to Requête de la Défense d'Uwinkindi Jean aux fins d'admission des moyens de

⁵ Prosecution's Consolidated Response to Requête de la Défense d'Uwinkindi Jean aux fins d'admission des moyens de preuve en application de l'article 142 du Règlement de procédure et de preuve dated 21 Feb[ruary], 3 March 2016 and 17 March 2016 (confidential), 19 April 2016 ("Prosecution's Consolidated Response"), para. 16.

⁶ Confidential and *Ex Parte* Annex to Prosecution's Consolidated Response (confidential and *ex parte*), 19 April 2016 ("Annex").

⁷ Prosecution's Consolidated Response, para. 16.

to Rules 71(B) and 73 of the Rules in order to allow Mr. Uwinkindi to review it and make submissions as to the admissibility of the Report as additional evidence on appeal;⁸

NOTING Mr. Uwinkindi's submissions that the Report confirms his arguments on appeal that the Trial Chamber erred in the Impugned Decision and that his fair trial rights in Rwanda were violated;⁹

NOTING that the Prosecution has not filed a response to either motion;¹⁰

RECALLING that Rule 73(A) of the Rules provides that "[t]he Prosecutor shall, as soon as practicable, disclose to the Defence any material that in the actual knowledge of the Prosecutor may suggest the innocence or mitigate the guilt of the accused or affect the credibility of Prosecution evidence";

FINDING that Mr. Uwinkindi's submissions¹¹ fail to demonstrate how the Report may suggest his innocence or mitigate his guilt or affect the credibility of Prosecution evidence and, therefore, that Mr. Uwinkindi does not show that the Report constitutes exculpatory material falling within the ambit of Rule 73 of the Rules;

RECALLING that Rule 71(B) of the Rules provides, *inter alia*, that the Prosecutor shall, on request, permit the Defence to inspect any books, documents, photographs, and tangible objects in the Prosecutor's custody or control, which are material to the preparation of the defence;¹²

CONSIDERING that Rule 71(B) of the Rules applies to appeal proceedings;¹³

⁸ See Ur[]gent Defence Motion for Disclosure of Additional Evidence by Prosecution (confidential), 26 April 2016 (the English translation of the French original was filed on 6 May 2016) ("Motion"), paras. 5, 7-10, 16, 19-22, 23; Requête complémentaire de la Défense aux fins de divulgation par le Procureur d'un moyen de preuve additionnel (confidential), 4 May 2016 (the English translation of the French original was filed on 18 May 2016) ("Supplemental Motion"), paras. 6-20, 24, 28, n. 3.

⁹ See Second Additional Evidence Motion, paras. 11, 12, 43-46. Cf. Supplemental Motion, para. 21.

¹⁰ See Practice Direction on Requirements and Procedures for Appeals, MICT/10, 6 August 2013, para. 19.

¹¹ See Motion, para. 8; Supplemental Motion, paras. 6-20.

¹² The Appeals Chamber observes that, in material respects, Rule 71(B) of the Rules tracks the language of Rules 66(B) of the Rules of Procedure and Evidence of the International Tribunal for the former Yugoslavia ("ICTY") and for the International Criminal Tribunal for Rwanda ("ICTR") (collectively, "ad hoc Tribunals"). Consequently, the Appeals Chamber finds the Appeals Chamber jurisprudence of the ad hoc Tribunals interpreting Rule 66(B) of the ICTY and ICTR Rules of Procedure and Evidence highly relevant in its interpretation of Rule 71(B) of the Rules. See Phénéas Munyarugarama v. Prosecutor, Case No. MICT-12-09-AR14, Decision on Appeal against the Referral of Phénéas Munyarugarama's Case to Rwanda and Prosecution Motion to Strike, 5 October 2012, para. 6.

¹³ See Prosecutor v. Miroslav Bralo, Case No. IT-95-17-A, Decision on Miroslav Bralo's Motion for Admission of Additional Evidence (confidential), 12 January 2007 ("Bralo Decision of 12 January 2007"), para. 25; Ferdinand Nahimana et al. v. The Prosecutor, Case No. ICTR-99-52-A, Decision on Motions Relating to the Appellant Hassan Ngeze's and the Prosecution's Requests for Leave to Present Additional Evidence of Witnesses ABC1 and EB (public redacted version), 1 December 2006 ("Nahimana et al. Decision of 1 December 2006"), para. 16; The Prosecutor v. Théoneste Bagosora et al., Case No. ICTR-98-41-AR73, Decision on Interlocutory Appeal Relating to Disclosure Under Rule 66(B) of the Tribunal's Rules of Procedure and Evidence, 26 September 2006 ("Bagosora et al. Decision

CONSIDERING that the obligation to provide access pursuant to Rule 71(B) of the Rules is only triggered by a sufficiently specific request by the Defence,¹⁴

CONSIDERING FURTHER that, prior to obtaining a judicial order for the inspection of any item material the preparation of the defence, the applicant must: (i) demonstrate that the material sought is in the custody or control of the Prosecution; (ii) establish the *prima facie* materiality of the document sought to the preparation of the defence case; and (iii) specifically identify the requested material;¹⁵

FINDING that, as Mr. Uwinkindi has specifically identified the requested material and that there is no dispute that the Report is in the custody and control of the Prosecution,¹⁶ the first and last criteria triggering inspection under Rule 71(B) of the Rules have been satisfied;

CONSIDERING that, in relation to appellate proceedings, the Prosecution should consider the following criteria to determine if material in its possession is material to the preparation of the defence in accordance with Rule 71(B) of the Rules: (i) whether the issue to which the material relates is the subject of a ground of appeal; or (ii) whether the material could reasonably lead to further investigation by the Defence and the discovery of additional evidence admissible on appeal;¹⁷

CONSIDERING that Mr. Uwinkindi has made a sufficient showing that the Report pertains to issues raised in his appeal and could reasonably lead to further investigation and the discovery of additional evidence which may be potentially admissible under Rule 142 of the Rules;

FINDING that Mr. Uwinkindi has also satisfied the second criterion triggering inspection under Rule 71(B) of the Rules;

CONSIDERING that the Prosecution should not disclose the Report, which was provided confidentially, without first obtaining consent to disclose it from its author;¹⁸

of 26 September 2006"), para. 9, n. 35; Prosecutor v. Radislav Krstić, Case No. IT-98-33-A, Decision on the Prosecution's Motion to be Relieved of Obligation to Disclose Sensitive Information Pursuant to Rule 66(C) (confidential), 27 March 2003 ("Krstić Decision of 27 March 2003"), p. 4.

¹⁴ Bagosora et al. Decision of 26 September 2006, para. 10.

 ¹⁵ Prosecutor v. Édouard Karemera et al., Case No. ICTR-98-44-AR73.11, Decision on the Prosecution's Interlocutory Appeal Concerning Disclosure Obligations (public redacted version), 23 January 2008 ("Karemera et al. Decision of 23 January 2008"), para. 12. See also Édouard Karemera et al. v. The Prosecutor, Case No. ICTR-98-44-AR73.18, Decision on Joseph Nzirorera's Appeal from Decision on Alleged Rule 66 Violation, 18 May 2010, para. 13.
 ¹⁶ See Motion, paras. 2, 3, 19, 23; Supplemental Motion, paras. 10, 11, 20, 21, p. 8. Prosecution's Consolidated

See Motion, paras. 2, 5, 19, 25; Supplemental Motion, paras. 10, 11, 20, 21, p. 6. Hoseethion's Consolutated Response, para 16; Annex.

¹⁷ See Bralo Decision of 12 January 2007, para. 25; Nahimana et al. Decision of 1 December 2006, para. 16; Krstić Decision of 27 March 2003, p. 4.

¹⁸ Cf. Rule 76(B) of the Rules.

PURSUANT TO Rules 55, 71(B), and 131 of the Rules;

HEREBY GRANTS, in part, the Motion and the Supplemental Motion;

ORDERS the Prosecution, within five (5) days of the filing of this decision, to contact the author of the Report to ascertain whether the author consents to disclose the Report on a confidential or non-confidential basis to Mr. Uwinkindi;

ORDERS the Prosecution to file written submissions within seven (7) days of the filing of this decision stating whether the author consents to the disclosure of the Report on a confidential or non-confidential basis to Mr. Uwinkindi;

In the event that the author of the Report will consent to its disclosure, the Appeals Chamber

FURTHER ORDERS the Prosecution to allow Mr. Uwinkindi to inspect the Report consistent with Rule 71(B) of the Rules as soon as practicable;

ORDERS Mr. Uwinkindi to file any motion related to the Report within seven (7) days of having inspected it; and

DENIES the Motion and the Supplemental Motion in all other respects.

Done in English and French, the English version being authoritative.

a fl

Done this 25th of May 2016, At The Hague, The Netherlands.

Judge Burton Hall, Presiding

[Seal of the Mechanism]

5



Case No. MICT-12-25-AR14.1

25 May 2016



TRANSMISSION SHEET FOR FILING OF DOCUMENTS WITH THE MECHANISM FOR INTERNATIONAL CRIMINAL TRIBUNALS/ FICHE DE TRANSMISSION POUR LE DÉPÔT DE DOCUMENTS DEVANT LE MÉCANISME POUR LES TRIBUNAUX PÉNAUX INTERNATIONAUX

I - FILING INFORMATION / INFORMATIONS GÉNÉRALES

| To/ À : | MICT Registry/ Gref | fe du MTPI | 🛛 Arusha/ Arusha | The Hague/ La Haye | | | |
|--|------------------------------------|--|-----------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| From/ De : | Chambers/ Chambre | Defence/ Défense | Prosecutio | | | | |
| Case Name/ <i>Affair</i> e : | Prosecutor v. Jean | Uwinkindi | Case Nu <i>Affaire n</i> | | | | |
| Date Created/ <i>Daté du</i> : | 25 May 2016 | Date transmitted/ <i>Transmis l</i> e : | 25 May 2016 | No. of Pages/ 5 Nombre de pages : | | | |
| Original Language / <i>Langue de l'original :</i> | | French/ 🗌 Kiny Français | arwanda 🗌 B/C/S | Other/Autre (specify/préciser): | | | |
| Title of Document/ <i>Titre du document</i> : | DECISION ON MOTIONS FOR DISCLOSURE | | | | | | |
| Classification Level/ Catégories de | <i>égories de</i> Non classifié | | | | | | |
| classification : | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Document type/ <i>Type de document</i> : | ☐ Motion/ Requête | Submission from Écritures déposées | | Indictment/ Acte d'accusation | | | |
| Type de document . | Decision/ | Submission from non-parties/ Écritures déposées par des tiers Mandat | | | | | |
| | Order/ Ordonnance | Book of Authoriti Recueil de sources | | Notice of Appeal/ Acte d'appel | | | |
| | Judgement/ Jugement/Arrêt | Affidavit/ Déclaration sous se | erment | | | | |
| II - TRANSLAT | ION STATUS ON TI | HE FILING DATE/ | ÉTAT DE LA TRADU | ICTION AU JOUR DU DÉPÔT | | | |
| Translation not required/ La traduction n'est pas requise | | | | | | | |
| Filing Party hereby submits only the original, and requests the Registry to translate/ La partie déposante ne soumet que l'original et sollicite que le Greffe prenne en charge la traduction : (Word version of the document is attached/ La version Word est jointe) | | | | | | | |
| English/ Anglais | ⊠ Frenc <i>Franç</i> | | nda 🗌 B/C/S | Other/Autre (specify/préciser) : | | | |
| ☐ Filing Party hereby submits both the original and the translated version for filing, as follows/ La partie déposante soumet l'original et la version traduite aux fins de dépôt, comme suit : | | | | | | | |
| - | nglish/ | _ , | nda 🔲 B/C/S | Other/Autre (specify/préciser) : | | | |
| | nglish/ 🗌 Frenc nglais Franç | • | nda 🗌 B/C/S | Other/Autre (specify/préciser): | | | |
| Filing Party will be submitting the translated version(s) in due course in the following language(s)/ La partie déposante soumettra la (les) version(s) traduite(s) sous peu, dans la (les) langue(s) suivante(s) : | | | | | | | |
| English/ Anglais | Frence Français | — , | nda 🗌 B/C/S | Other/Autre (specify/préciser) : | | | |

Send completed transmission sheet to/ Veuillez soumettre cette fiche dûment remplie à : JudicialFilingsArusha@un.org OR/OU JudicialFilingsHague@un.org

Rev: April 2014/Rév. : Avril 2014