MICT-12-29-R 03-10-2017 (1821 - 1819)

UNITED NATIONS

Case No.:

MICT-12-29-R



Mechanism for International Criminal Tribunals

Date:

3 October 2017

Original:

English

IN THE APPEALS CHAMBER

Before:

Judge Theodor Meron, Pre-Review Judge

Registrar:

Mr. Olufemi Elias

Decision of:

3 October 2017

PROSECUTOR

V.

AUGUSTIN NGIRABATWARE

PUBLIC

DECISION ON REQUEST FOR STATUS CONFERENCE

The Office of the Prosecutor:

Mr. Serge Brammertz

Mr. Mathias Marcussen

Mr. Richard Karegyesa

Ms. Thembile Segoete

Counsel for Augustin Ngirabatware:

Mr. Peter Robinson

Received by the Registry

Mechanism for International Criminal Tribunals

03/10/2017 15:06

Thwaipopo

I, THEODOR MERON, Presiding Judge of the Appeals Chamber of the International Residual Mechanism for Criminal Tribunals ("Appeals Chamber" and "Mechanism", respectively), and Pre-Review Judge in this case;¹

RECALLING that, on 19 June 2017, the Appeals Chamber: (i) granted Augustin Ngirabatware's request for review of his convictions; (ii) determined that a hearing shall be held to allow the parties to present evidence in this regard; and (iii) ordered the parties to submit in writing, no later than 31 July 2017, a list of evidence and witnesses, if any, each propose to introduce at the hearing;²

BEING SEISED of the "Request for Status Conference" filed by Ngirabatware on 18 September 2017 ("Request"), in which he requests, pursuant to Rule 69 of the Rules of Procedure and Evidence ("Rules"), that a status conference be convened to address issues related to his mental and physical condition and to allow the parties to discuss matters related to the review hearing;³

NOTING that, in response, the Prosecution submits that the Request is legally and factually unfounded and that Ngirabatware has failed to identify any specific issue that requires holding a status conference;⁴

NOTING that Ngirabatware did not file a reply;

CONSIDERING that the Rules require the holding of a status conference at regular intervals *only* at the pre-trial stage of the proceedings following the initial appearance of the accused⁵ and pending appeal if a convicted person is in custody following the filing of a notice of appeal;⁶

CONSIDERING that, in the absence of an express requirement in the Rules, a status conference or other procedural hearing may be held by a judge or a Chamber if it is in the interests of justice or required for the proper preparation of the hearing;

¹ Order Assigning Judges to Consider a Case Before the Appeals Chamber, 25 July 2016; Order Designating a Pre-Review Judge, 17 August 2016.

² Decision on Ngirabatware's Motion for Review, 19 June 2017 (public and redacted version), pp. 2, 3. See also Motion for Review of Judgement, 8 July 2016 (confidential with confidential Annexes A-E); The Prosecutor v. Augustin Ngirabatware, Case No. MICT-12-29-A, Judgement, 18 December 2014.

³ Request, paras. 1, 7.

⁴ Prosecution Response to Request for Status Conference, 22 September 2017, p. 1.

⁵ See Rule 69(A) of the Rules. See also Prosecutor v. Radovan Karadžić, Case No. 1T-95-5/18-T, Decision on Accused's Request for Status Conference. 11 lune 2014, page 4

Accused's Request for Status Conference, 11 June 2014, para. 4.

⁶ See Rule 69(B) of the Rules. See also Prosecutor v. Radovan Karadžić, Case No. MICT-13-55, Decision on Request for Status Conference, 1 April 2016 ("Karadžić Decision of 1 April 2016"), p. 1.

CONSIDERING that Ngirabatware has not shown that a status conference is necessary because he does not identify any specific issue that he wishes to raise in relation to his mental or physical condition or in relation to the preparation of the review hearing;⁷

CONSIDERING that the conditions of detention of the detainees under the authority of the Mechanism at the United Nations Detention Facility in Arusha are supervised by the President and that, therefore, there is a separate avenue for raising concerns in relation to the detainees' mental and physical condition;⁸

CONSIDERING that the Appeals Chamber anticipates scheduling the review hearing in the near future;

CONSIDERING that the proper preparation of the review hearing does not require, at this stage, the convening of a status conference;

FOR THE FOREGOING REASONS,

HEREBY DENY the Request.

Done in English and French, the English version being authoritative.

Done this 3rd day of October 2017, At The Hague, The Netherlands.

Judge Theodor Meron, Pre-Review Judge

[Seal of the Mechanism]



See. Karadžić Decision of 1 April 2016, p. 2, See also Request, para. 5.

⁸ Cf. Karadžić Decision of 1 April 2016, p. 1. See also Decision on Motion to Report Government of Turkey to United Nations Security Council and for Modification of Conditions of Detention, 22 March 2017, p. 3.



TRANSMISSION SHEET FOR FILING OF DOCUMENTS WITH THE MECHANISM FOR INTERNATIONAL CRIMINAL TRIBUNALS/ FICHE DE TRANSMISSION POUR LE DÉPÔT DE DOCUMENTS DEVANT LE MÉCANISME POUR LES TRIBUNAUX PÉNAUX INTERNATIONAUX

I - FILING INFORMATION / INFORMATIONS GÉNÉRALES

To/ À:	MICT Registry/ Greffe du MTPI		Arusha/ Arush	a ☐ The Hague/ La Haye
From/ De:	Chambers/ Chambre	Defence/ Défense	☐ Prosecut Bureau du F	1501 151 per en 150 150 150 150 150 150 150 150 150 150
Case Name/ Affaire :	Prosecutor v. Augi	ustin Ngirabatware	Case N Affaire	
Date Created/ Daté du :	3 October 2017	Date transmitted/ Transmis le :	3 October 2017	No. of Pages/ 3 Nombre de pages :
Original Language / Langue de l'original :		French/ Kin	yarwanda 🗌 B/C/	S Other/Autre (specify/préciser) :
Title of Document/ Titre du document :	DECISION ON REQUEST FOR STATUS CONFERENCE			
Classification Level/ Catégories de	 ☑ Unclassified/ ☐ Ex Parte Defence excluded/ Défense exclue Non classifié ☐ Ex Parte Prosecution excluded/ Bureau du Procureur exclu 			
classification :	☐ Confidential/ ☐ Ex Parte R86(H) applicant excluded/ Art. 86 H) requérant exclu Confidential/ ☐ Ex Parte Amicus Curiae excluded/ Amicus curiae exclu			
	☐ Strictly Confidential/ Strictement confidential/ Strictement confidential/ (specify/préciser):			
Document type/ Type de document :	☐ Motion/ Requête	Submission fro Écritures déposée		☐ Indictment/ Acte d'accusation
Type de document :	 ☑ Decision/ ☑ Submission from non-parties/ Écritures déposées par des tiers 			☐ Warrant/ Mandaf
2	Order/ Ordonnance	☐ Book of Author Recueil de source		☐ Notice of Appeal/ Acte d'appel
	☐ Judgement/ Jugement/Arrêt	☐ Affidavit/ Déclaration sous s	serment	
II - TRANSLAT	TION STATUS ON T	HE FILING DATE	ÉTAT DE LA TRAL	OUCTION AU JOUR DU DÉPÔT
☐ Translation not requ	ired/ La traduction n'e	st pas requise		
⊠Filing Party hereby s La partie déposante ne (Word version of the do	soumet que l'original	et sollicite que le Gre	effe prenne en charge	la traduction :
☐ English/ Anglais	⊠ Fren <i>Fran</i>		anda DB/C/S	☐ Other/Autre (specify/préciser) :
Filing Party hereby s	submits both the origin	al and the translated		follows/
	English/ Fren		anda ☐ B/C/Ś	Other/Autre (specify/préciser):
Translation/	English/		anda B/C/S	Other/Autre (specify/préciser) :
Filing Party will be s	ubmitting the translate	ed version(s) in due o		language(s)/
☐ English/ Anglais	☐ Fren Françai	ch/ Kinyarw		Other/Autre (specify/préciser) :